

# Homework & Solution

## 1. Sec. 5.4 Q19

19. Let  $A$  denote the  $k \times k$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{k-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{k-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}$  are arbitrary scalars. Prove that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$(-1)^k(a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{k-1}t^{k-1} + t^k).$$

*Hint:* Use mathematical induction on  $k$ , expanding the determinant along the first row.

- For  $k=2$   $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$f_A(t) = \det(A - tI_2) = \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -t & -a_0 \\ 1 & -a_1 - t \end{pmatrix}\right) = (-1)^2 (a_0 + a_1t + t^2)$$

- Suppose this is true for  $k-1$

- If  $A$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(t) &= \det(A - tI_k) = \begin{vmatrix} -t & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & -t & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -t & -a_{k-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -t-a_{k-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-t) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -t & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -t & -a_{k-2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -t-a_{k-1} \end{vmatrix} + (-1)^{k+1}(-a_0) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -t & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -t \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

by assumption

$$= (-t) (-1)^{k-1} (a_1 + a_2t + \cdots + a_{k-1}t^{k-2} + t^{k-1}) + (-1)^k \cdot a_0$$

$$= (-1)^k (a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots + a_{k-1}t^{k-1} + t^k)$$

2. Sec. 5.4 Q24

24. Prove that the restriction of a diagonalizable linear operator  $T$  to any nontrivial  $T$ -invariant subspace is also diagonalizable. Hint: Use the result of Exercise 23.

Since  $T$  is diagonalizable.

$V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$  where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are distinct eigenvalues.

Then  $W = W \cap V = W \cap E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W \cap E_{\lambda_k}$

Let  $\beta_j = \{v^j_1, \dots, v^j_{n_j}\}$  be a basis for  $W \cap E_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j=1 \dots k$

Let  $\beta = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \beta_j$

Claim:  $\beta$  is a basis for  $W$ .

(1)  $\beta$  is L.I. Consider

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^{n_j} a_l^j v_l^j = 0$$

since  $v^j = \sum_{l=1}^{n_j} a_l^j v_l^j \in W \cap E_{\lambda_j}$

$v^j$  is an eigen vector of  $T$  corresponding to eigen value  $\lambda_j$

and  $v^1 + \dots + v^k = 0 \in \{0\}$  the trivial  $T$ -invariant subspace.

By exercise 23. we have  $v^j \in \{0\}$  for  $j=1 \dots k$

Since  $\{v^1, \dots, v^k\}$  is basis for  $W \cap E_{\lambda_j}$ , thus L.I.

we have  $a_l^j = 0$  for  $l=1 \dots n_j$ , for  $j=1 \dots k$

(2)  $\beta$  spans  $W$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= W \cap E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W \cap E_{\lambda_k} = \text{span}(\beta_1) + \dots + \text{span}(\beta_k) \\ &= \text{span}(\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k) \\ &= \text{span}(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Sec. 5.4 Q28

28. Let  $f(t)$ ,  $g(t)$ , and  $h(t)$  be the characteristic polynomials of  $T$ ,  $T_W$ , and  $\bar{T}$ , respectively. Prove that  $f(t) = g(t)h(t)$ . Hint: Extend an ordered basis  $\gamma = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  for  $W$  to an ordered basis  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$ . Then show that the collection of cosets  $\alpha = \{v_{k+1} + W, v_{k+2} + W, \dots, v_n + W\}$  is an ordered basis for  $V/W$ , and prove that

$$[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ O & B_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B_1 = [T]_\gamma$  and  $B_3 = [\bar{T}]_\alpha$ .

$\gamma = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is basis for  $W$ .

extend  $\gamma$  to  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  a basis for  $V$ .

Let  $\alpha = \{v_{k+1} + W, \dots, v_n + W\}$

Claim:  $\alpha$  is a basis for  $V/W$

①  $\alpha$  is L.I.

Consider  $a_{k+1}(v_{k+1} + W) + \dots + a_n(v_n + W) = W$

i.e.  $a_{k+1}v_{k+1} + \dots + a_nv_n \in W = \text{span}(\gamma)$

$\exists a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$  s.t.  $a_{k+1}v_{k+1} + \dots + a_nv_n = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_kv_k$

Since  $\beta$  is L.I. we have  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$

So  $\alpha$  is L.I.

②  $\forall v + W \in V/W \quad v \in V$ .

$\exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$  s.t.  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$

Then  $v + W = (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) + W$

$$= a_1(v_1 + W) + \dots + a_n(v_n + W)$$

$$= a_{k+1}(v_{k+1} + W) + \dots + a_n(v_n + W)$$

$\in \text{Span}(\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\bar{T}]_{\beta} &= \left( [\bar{T}(v_1)]_{\beta} \quad \dots \quad [\bar{T}(v_n)]_{\beta} \right) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} [\bar{T}_w(v_1)]_y & \dots & [\bar{T}_w(v_k)]_y & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & [\bar{T}(v_{k+1}+W)]_2 & \dots & [\bar{T}(v_n+W)]_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} [\bar{T}_w]_y & * \\ 0 & [\bar{T}]_2 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t) &= \det([\bar{T}]_{\beta} - tI) = \det([\bar{T}_w]_y - tI) \cdot \det([\bar{T}]_2 - tI) \\
 &\simeq g(t) \cdot h(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Sec. 5.4 Q30

30. Prove that if both  $T_W$  and  $\bar{T}$  are diagonalizable and have no common eigenvalues, then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

- $T_W$  is diagonalizable, then  $\exists \beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  basis for  $W$ .

$$\text{s.t. } [T_W]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{i.e. } T(v_i) = T_W(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i \quad i=1, \dots, k$$

- $\bar{T}$  is diagonalizable, then  $\exists \gamma = \{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n\}$  basis for  $V/W$

$$\text{s.t. } [\bar{T}]_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{k+1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{i.e. } T(u_j) + W = \bar{T}(u_j + W) = \lambda_j(u_j + W) = \lambda_j u_j + W \quad \begin{matrix} j=k+1, \dots, n \\ i=1, \dots, k \end{matrix}$$

- Since  $\lambda_j u_j - T(u_j) \in W$ . for  $j=k+1, \dots, n$

Consider  $T_W - \lambda_j I_W : W \rightarrow W$  for  $j=k+1, \dots, n$

Since  $T_W$  and  $\bar{T}$  have no common eigen values,  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad \begin{matrix} i=1, \dots, k \\ j=k+1, \dots, n \end{matrix}$

All eigen values  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_k - \lambda_j$  of  $T_W - \lambda_j I_W$  are non-zero

Thus  $T_W - \lambda_j I_W$  is invertible.

$$\exists w_j \in W. \text{ s.t. } T_W(w_j) - \lambda_j w_j = (T_W - \lambda_j I_W)(w_j) = \lambda_j u_j - T(u_j) \in W$$

- define  $v_j = u_j + w_j \in V$  for  $j=k+1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} T(v_j) &= T(u_j) + T(w_j) = T(u_j) + \lambda_j w_j + \lambda_j u_j - T(u_j) \\ &= \lambda_j(u_j + w_j) = \lambda_j v_j. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $v_j$  is eigen vector of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda_j$  for  $j=k+1, \dots, n$

- Consider  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k + \dots + a_n v_n = 0 \quad \text{X}$

$$(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k) + (a_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + a_n u_n) + (a_{k+1} w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n) = 0$$

$\underbrace{(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k)}_{EW} + \underbrace{(a_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + a_n u_n)}_{EW} + \underbrace{(a_{k+1} w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n)}_{EW} = 0$

then  $a_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + a_n u_n \in W$

$$\text{i.e. } \alpha_{k+1}(u_{k+1} + w) + \dots + \alpha_n(u_n + w) = w$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a basis for  $V/W$ , thus is L.I.

We have  $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$

Then ~~\*~~ becomes  $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k = 0$

Since  $\beta$  is basis for  $W$ , we have  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$

Thus  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is L.I.

Since  $|\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}| = n = \dim(V)$

$\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  consisting eigen vectors of  $T$ .

Thus  $T$  is diagonalizable

5. (Tensor Product) Define the trace of a linear operator  $T$  as the trace of its matrix representation under any basis, i.e.  $\text{tr}(T) := \text{tr}([T]_{\beta})$ .

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional spaces. Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  and  $U : W \rightarrow W$  be linear. Define a mapping  $T \otimes U : V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W$  by  $T \otimes U(v \otimes w) = T(v) \otimes U(w)$ .

Show that  $\text{tr}(T \otimes U) = \text{tr}(T)\text{tr}(U)$ .

**Remark:**  $\text{tr}(T) := \text{tr}([T]_{\beta})$  is well-defined since it's independent of choice of basis  $\beta$ .

$$T : V \rightarrow V \quad U : W \rightarrow W \quad \text{let } \beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \text{ be basis for } V$$

$$T \otimes U : V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W \quad \gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \text{ be basis for } W.$$

$$v \otimes w \mapsto T(v) \otimes U(w)$$

$$\text{Let } [T]_{\beta} = A. \text{ then } T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} v_i$$

$$\text{Let } [U]_{\gamma} = B. \text{ then } U(w_k) = \sum_{l=1}^m B_{lk} w_l$$

$$\begin{aligned} T \otimes U(v_j \otimes w_k) &= T(v_j) \otimes U(w_k) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} v_i \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{l=1}^m B_{lk} w_l \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m A_{ij} B_{lk} \cdot v_i \otimes w_l \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T \otimes U) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m A_{ij} B_{lk} \cdot \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m A_{jj} B_{kk} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_{jj} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m B_{kk} \right) \\ &= \text{tr}(A) \cdot \text{tr}(B) \\ &= \text{tr}([T]_{\beta}) \cdot \text{tr}([U]_{\gamma}) \\ &= \text{tr}(T) \cdot \text{tr}(U) \end{aligned}$$